

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 26TH MARCH 2007 / 5TH CHAITHRA 1929

WP(C).No. 33975 of 2006(T)

PETITIONER:

**P.V.KURIAKOSE,
HEALTH INSPECTOR GR-II (RETD.),
ATTUPURATH HOUSE, PADINJARAKKARA P.O.,
VALLAKOM, VAIKOM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.K.JAJU BABU
SMT.M.U.VIJAYALAKSHMI
SRI.T.R.SADEESAN**

RESPONDENTS:

- 1. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR OF URBAN AFFAIRS,
TRIVANDRUM.**
- 3. THE CORPORATION OF KOCHI,
BOAT JETTY, ERNAKULAM, REPRESENTED BY
ITS SECRETARY.**
- 4. VAIKOM MUNICIPALITY,
VAIKOM, REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.**

**BY ADV. SRI.K.REGHU KOTTAPPURAM for R4
SRI.PRAVEEN K. JOY for R3
BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. P.NANDAKUMAR for R1 & R2**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 26/03/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

WPC.NO.33975/2006 T

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

**EXT.P1: COPY OF ORDER NO.P2/15383/04 DTD. 6/02/06 ISSUED BY THE
2ND RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P2: COPY OF ORDER NO.P2-13383/04 DTD. 15/03/06 ISSUED BY THE
2ND RESPONDENT.**

**EXT.P3: COPY OF REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 2ND RESPONDENT DTD. 2/08/06.**

**EXT.P4: COPY OF REPRESENTATION SUBMITTED BY THE PETITIONER
BEFORE THE 4TH RESPONDENT DTD. 2/08/06.**

/TRUE COPY/

KSS

K.K.DENESAN, J.

WP(C)No. 33975 OF 2006

Dated this the 26th March, 2007.

JUDGMENT

The petitioner retired from service while working as Health Inspector Gr.II. The Municipality where he had worked last is the fourth respondent. The grievance of the petitioner relates to delay in granting him revised pensionary benefits. It is seen that he has submitted Ext.P4 representation addressed to the Secretary of the fourth respondent for computing the amount due to him by way of revision and to take appropriate action.

2. In the nature of the reliefs sought for by the petitioner, it is necessary that the fourth respondent considers Ext.P4 and if it is found that the petitioner is eligible for revised pensionary benefits, the amount due to him is worked out and due request is made to the second respondent, for necessary sanction. Needless to state that on the second respondent according sanction, the petitioner shall be given the benefit of the revised pensionary benefits if found entitled. The entire exercise shall be completed by respondents 2 and 4 within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of the judgment.

Writ petition is disposed of accordingly.

K.K.DENESAN
Judge

jj